BLM – El Centro Field Office

Comments submitted by the OHV Division to individual grant applicants should in no way be construed as a guarantee of successful results for the applicant within the competitive grants process or a commitment of funding. Additionally, the lack of comments by the OHV Division to any specific applicant does not ensure successful results for the applicant within the competitive grant process or a commitment of funding.

All final applications will be reviewed by the OHMVR Division. The OHMVR Division may, at its sole discretion, decrease the requested amount and eliminate activities pursuant with regulation Section 4970.07.2 (f)(1-4) and for law enforcement projects, regulation Section 4970.15.3(b)(1-5).

Please note: If multiple proposed projects are requesting funding for the same deliverable, and multiple projects are successful, only one project will receive funding for the deliverable.

General Evaluation Criteria

• #12a – Applicant may want to clarify the grant cycle year in the explanation.

Ground Operations - ISDRA

G09-01-09-G01

Project Description

No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

Materials / Supplies – For "Other-replacement signs", applicant may what to
provide an approximate "Qty" and an average "Rate" to provide a better example
of the number of signs that will be installed or provide additional details in the
'Notes' field regarding the quantity and rates for the miscellaneous signs.

Evaluation Criteria

- #3 The narrative does not support response with regard to "Maintaining multi use (ATV, Dirt Bikes, 4x4, etc)". Applicant may want to provide additional details.
- #5 The number of partner organizations should be checked as "2 to 3" since only three partners are listed ("United Desert Gateway" is listed twice).
- #8 The response and explanation should be relative to the project currently submitted for grant funding.

Law Enforcement G09-01-09-L01

Needs Assessment

No comment.

Law Enforcement Certification

No comment.

Project Cost Estimate

• Equipment Purchases – The cost item "Other-In-car Cameras" appears excessive.

Restoration - WECO

G09-01-09-R01

Project Description

- A Applicant may want to include additional details relative to the "Survey" and "Restoration Crew" items listed in the Project Cost Estimate.
- B Applicant may want to expand on the description provided.
- C Applicant may want to provide additional detail describing the specific area(s) within the ACEC's that are intended to be restored.

Project Cost Estimate

• Contracts – Applicant may want to provide additional details in the Project Description relative to the "Survey" and "Restoration Crew" line items (e.g., address the "Qty" of "6" identified for each line item).

Evaluation Criteria

- #2 "ACEC" and "Sensitive species" are mentioned in the description provided.
 Applicant should consider checking "Sensitive areas" and "Other special-status species". The description does not appear to support adverse impacts for the "Stream or other watercourse" item checked.
- #9 This section should be blank, this section applies only to scientific and cultural studies.

Education & Safety - ISDRA	G09-01-09-S01
5 1 15 11	

Project Description

- A Applicant may want to include in the Project Description those items listed as "Match" in the Project Cost Estimate to provide a more complete description of the total project.
- C Applicant may want to update the 2007/2008 seasonal information with current data.

Project Cost Estimate

 Applicant may want to include in the Project Description those items listed as "Match" in the Project Cost Estimate to provide a more complete description of the total project.

Evaluation Criteria

 #6 – The narrative does not support response with regard to "Conference calls" and "Meetings with stakeholders". Applicant may want to provide additional information.